UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

KRIS K. BENNETT,

v.

WYATT HULL,

CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05858-JHC-BAT

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, DKT. 22

Plaintiff moves for an order compelling Defendant Wyatt Hull to provide as discovery: (1) a copy of the Stafford Creek Corrections Center (SCCC) surveillance video of the dayroom, Unit H-2-A for May 24, 2019 between the hours of 2:00 and 3:00 pm; (2) A signed copy of Defendant Wyatt's "post position order (job description)."; and (3) All disciplinary records of Defendant Wyatt. Dkt. 22 at 1-3.

Defendant opposes the motions arguing Plaintiff failed to comply with Local Civil Rule 37(a), which requires Plaintiff to include in any discovery motion a certification he has met and conferred or attempted to confer with defense counsel before he filed the present motion. Dkt. 23. In response, Plaintiff contends he submitted a written request for the three items herein and "Defendants only mailed one the three. Two items were not even acknowledged and the 'job description' was only a generic form and NOT a signed copy." Dkt. 22.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY, DKT. 22 - 1

The Court's scheduling order states "Any motion to compel discovery must include a written certification that the moving party has in good faith either met and conferred or attempted to meet and confer. A motion to compel that lacks such a certification will be summarily denied." Dkt. 15 at 2. Here Plaintiff's motion lacks any certification the parties have met and conferred, or even made an attempt to do so. Accordingly, consistent with LCR 37 and the Court's scheduling order, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 1) The discovery motions, Dkt. 22 is **DENIED** without prejudice.
- 2) The clerk shall provide the parties with a copy of this order. DATED this 2^{nd} day of May, 2022.

BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge